Dennis F. Dunne (admitted pro hac vice)	
MILBANK LLP	
New York, New York 10001-2163	
Facsimile: (212) 530-5000	
and	
Gregory A. Bray (SBN 115367) Thomas R. Kreller (SBN 161922)	
MILBANK LLP	
Los Angeles, CA 90067	
Facsimile: (213) 629-5063	
Proposed Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors	
UNITED STATES BAN	KRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN DISTRIC SAN FRANCISC	
In re:	Case No. 19-30088 (DM)
PG&E CORPORATION	Chapter 11
-and-	(Lead Case)
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC	(Jointly Administered)
Debtors.	
☐ Affects PG&E Corporation	DECLARATION OF THOMAS R. KRELLER IN SUPPORT OF OBJECTION
☐ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company  • Affects both Debtors	OF THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS TO MOTIONS
	OF (I) AD HOC GROUP OF SUBROGATION CLAIM HOLDERS AND
No. 19-30088 (DM)	(II) OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF TORT CLAIMANTS FOR RELIEF FROM
	AUTOMATIC STAY
	Date: July 24, 2019 Time: 9:30 a.m.
	Place: United States Bankruptcy Court Courtroom 17, 16th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102
	Samuel A. Khalil (admitted pro hac vice) MILBANK LLP 55 Hudson Yards New York, New York 10001-2163 Telephone: (212) 530-5000 Facsimile: (212) 530-5219  and  Gregory A. Bray (SBN 115367) Thomas R. Kreller (SBN 161922) MILBANK LLP 2029 Century Park East, 33rd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (424) 386-4000 Facsimile: (213) 629-5063  Proposed Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors  UNITED STATES BAN NORTHERN DISTRIC SAN FRANCISC  In re:  PG&E CORPORATION  -and-  PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,  Debtors.  Affects PG&E Corporation  Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company  Affects both Debtors  * All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case,

Case 19-30088 Doc# 3102 Filed: 07/19/19 Entered: 07/19/19 15:24:40 Page 1 of

1	I, THOMAS R. KRELLER, being duly sworn, state the following under the penalty of
2	perjury:
3	1. I am an attorney admitted to practice in the State of California and I am a partner
4	in the Financial Restructuring Group of the firm Milbank LLP ("Milbank"), counsel to the
5	Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of the above-captioned debtors and debtors in
6	possession. Milbank maintains an office at, among other places, 2029 Century Park East, 33 <sup>rd</sup>
7	Floor, Los Angeles, California, 90067-3019. There are no disciplinary proceedings pending
8	against me.
9	2. I am duly authorized to make this declaration on behalf of Milbank in support of
10	the Objection of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors to Motions of (I) Ad Hoc Group
11	of Subrogation Claim Holders and (II) Official Committee of Tort Claimants for Relief from
12	Automatic Stay, dated July 19, 2019 (the "Objection").
13	3. For the Court's reference in its consideration of the Objection, attached hereto as
14	Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of Case Management Order 6 re: Preference Case Protocol,
15	California North Bay Fire Cases, JCCP No. 4955, Case No. CJC-17-004955 (Cal. Super. Ct.
16	Dec. 31, 2018).
17	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my
18	knowledge and belief.
19	Executed on July 10, 2010, Lee Angeles, CA
20	Executed on July 19, 2019, Los Angeles, CA
21	/s/ Thomas R. Kreller
22	Thomas R. Kreller
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

## Exhibit A

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3102 Filed: 07/19/19 Entered: 07/19/19 15:24:40 Page 3 of 7



# SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

## **Document Scanning Lead Sheet**

Jan-02-2019 3:25 pm

Case Number: CJC-17-004955

Filing Date: Dec-31-2018 3:24

Filed by: ERICKA LARNAUTI

Image: 06629728

ORDER

CALIFORNIA NORTH BAY FIRE CASES

001C06629728

#### Instructions:

Please place this sheet on top of the document to be scanned.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 3102 Filed: 07/19/19 Entered: 07/19/19 15:24:40 Page 4 of

7

Michael A. Kelly (SB 71460)
Walkup Melodia Kelly & Schoenberger
1050 California St., 26th Ploor
Scen Francisco, CA. 94108
Tel: 415-981-7210
Assorbey for Direct Plaintiffs

FILED
San Francisco County Superior Count

DEC 3 1 2018

CLERK OF THE COURT

BY: Deputy Clerk

5 6

2

3

4

7

8

10

11

**Coordination Proceeding** 

Special Title (Rule 3.550)

CALIFORNIA NORTH BAY FIRE CASES

12

13

14 15

13

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

JCCP No. 4955

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER 6 RE: PREFERENCE CASE PROTOCOL

Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Curtis E.A. Karnow, Dept. 304

### TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

This Case Management Order shall govern all cases filed in or transferred to California North Bay Fire Cases, JCCP 4955, including all cases currently in this proceeding and any cases subsequently added to this proceeding. The Court anticipates, given the large number of filings in this JCCP and the factual nature and complexity of the claims at issue, that multiple plaintiffs may be interested in pursuing a preferential trial setting. The purpose of this Case Management Order is to establish a preference case protocol to facilitate the exchange of information related to cases potentially eligible for preferential trial setting.

The Court hereby Orders as follows:

1. A Preference Committee for Individual Plaintiffs is hereby established to review potential preference cases and advise the submitting Plaintiff's counsel as to the viability and sequence of any potential filings. The Preference Committee shall consist of the following members of the Individual Plaintiffs' Executive Committee and shall report to (Co-Lead and Co-

4

Liaison Counsel): Thomas Brandi, Amy Eskin, Max Schuver, Mary Alexander, Allison Cordova, Angela Ja Chun, Matt Skikos, Joanna Fox, Steven Berki, and Ahmed Diab.

- 2. The Preference Committee shall meet regularly and report to Co-Lead and Co-Liaison counsel for Individual Plaintiffs and shall be available for consultation as a group with any individual plaintiff's counsel. For this purposes of compliance with this Order, the Preference Committee obligations are to all plaintiffs in the litigation and the litigation as a whole.
- 3. The Preference Committee shall be responsible for meeting and conferring with the defendants regarding potential preference cases including briefing scheduling, setting of hearings, and coordination of discovery. These meet and confer efforts with Defendants will also include the Attorney for the Plaintiff moving for Preference.
- 4. The Preference Committee shall submit to all counsel a preference questionnaire which shall be completed by the submitting Plaintiff's counsel who seeks to present a case to this Court for preferential trial setting. Given the large number of cases potentially eligible for preferential setting, counsel for any individual plaintiff seeking preference shall complete the preference questionnaire and provide it to the Preference Committee.
- 5. Any plaintiff's counsel who, at any time, represents a Plaintiff that has a good faith basis for asserting entitlement to a preferential trial setting under Code of Civil Procedure § 36 must provide written Notice to the Preference Committee of their potential claim of preferential trial setting eligibility at least 21 days before a motion for preference can be filed. At the time this Notice is served, the submitting Plaintiff's counsel shall also produce to the Preference Committee the following: (a) Grounds for Preference A written statement setting forth in detail the grounds for preferential trial setting and the facts supporting preference including the name of the plaintiff; (b) Records Any medical and/or other records which the Plaintiff moving for Preference intends to submit to the Court to support the request for preferential trial setting, which will be uploaded on a secure document portal managed by Brown Greer to maintain security; (c)

  Declarations Any and all declarations in support of the request for preferential trial setting (d) a completed CMO Compliance statement as required by CMO 5 uploaded to the BrownGreer portal and (e) a completed preference questionnaire as set forth in paragraph 4 above. If the Plaintiff

moving for Preference has exigent circumstances that warrant an expedited process, these circumstances are to be presented to the Preference Committee at the earliest opportunity. If good cause exists, the attorney for the Plaintiff moving for Preference, Lead Plaintiffs' Counsel, and Defendants' Counsel will meet and confer as soon as practical.

- 6. To the extent that a proposed plaintiff preference request has a corresponding Subrogation claim, Subrogation Leadership will be included and consulted as well.
- 7. Upon receipt of Notice(s) of potential claim(s) for preferential trial setting, the Preference Committee shall review and confirm that each request is complete and compliant with the preference protocol pursuant to C.C.P. § 36 and ready for meet and confer with Defendants. The Preference Committee shall communicate the findings of their review to the submitting Plaintiff's Counsel within 7 days of receipt of Notice.
- 8. Once a review of a request for preferential trial setting is deemed complete, pursuant to paragraph III above, the Preference Committee, or the submitting Plaintiff's Counsel if s/he disagrees with the Preference Committee's findings, shall provide Defendants with Notice regarding the preferential trial setting request(s) and the supporting materials to be submitted with the anticipated Preference motion(s) including any medical records and /or declarations that will be submitted. Plaintiffs and Defendants shall meet and confer within 7 days regarding the briefing and potential deposition schedule for each request for preferential trial setting. Any unresolved disputes amongst the parties regarding preferential trial settings will be decided by the Court upon the filing and hearing of a Motion for Preferential Trial Setting pursuant to C.C.P. § 36.
- 9. Counsel for the plaintiff bringing the preference motion shall be in compliance with the CMOs of this Court.
- 10. After the procedure followed in this Preference Protocol is completed, any attorney representing a Plaintiff in this case may proceed with the filing of a motion for preference under California Code of Civil Procedure section 36 if that attorney believes it is appropriate to do so

IT IS SO ORDERED.